

This provisional PDF was built from the peer-reviewed and accepted manuscript submitted by the author(s).
The manuscript has not been copyedited, formatted or proofread.
Please note that the provisional version can differ from the final version.
Final fully formatted version will be available soon.

Original study

Genetic evaluation for longevity of Croatian Simmental bulls using a piecewise Weibull model

Sonja Jovanovac¹, Nikola Raguž¹, Johann Sölkner² and Gábor Mészáros²

¹Faculty of Agriculture, Josip Juraj Strossmayer University of Osijek, Osijek, Croatia, ²Department of Sustainable Agricultural Systems, University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences, Vienna, Austria

For information about "Archiv Tierzucht" please visit <http://www.archivtierzucht.de/>.

Archiv Tierzucht 56 (2013) 9
doi: 10.7482/0003-9438-56-009

Received: 17 January 2012
Accepted: 8 January 2013
Online: 22 February 2013

Corresponding author:

Nikola Raguž; email: nraguž@pfos.hr
Faculty of Agriculture, Josip Juraj Strossmayer University of Osijek, Kralja Petra Svačića 1d, 31000 Osijek, Croatia

© 2013 by the authors; licensee Leibniz Institute for Farm Animal Biology (FBN), Dummerstorf, Germany.
This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/>).

Genetic evaluation for longevity of Croatian Simmental bulls using a piecewise Weibull model

Sonja Jovanovac¹, Nikola Raguž^{1*}, Johann Sölkner², Gábor Mészáros²

¹ Josip Juraj Strossmayer University of Osijek, Faculty of Agriculture, Kralja Petra Svačića 1d, 31000 Osijek, Croatia.

² Department of Sustainable Agricultural Systems, University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences, Vienna, Austria

Abstract

Genetic evaluation of sires for functional longevity was conducted using survival analysis techniques. The data set consisted of 49,659 Simmental cows with first calving from 1997 to 2008. A piecewise Weibull sire model was used to estimate breeding values of 251 bulls for functional length of productive life of their daughters. The model was stratified by parity i.e. a separate baseline hazard was computed for each stratum. Besides the random sire effect, the model included the fixed time independent effects of age at first calving, herd size and region as well as the time dependent effects of relative milk production and year*season of first calving. The highest impact on longevity was found for relative milk production. Cows with the lowest milk yields were at approximately 2.7 times higher risk of culling compared to cows with average milk production. Effects of age at first calving, herd size and country region had lower impact on longevity. Sire variance was 0.023 which results in a heritability of 0.06 for functional length of productive life. The average approximate reliability of estimated breeding values was 0.49. Genetic trend showed no clear tendency by year of birth of bulls.

Keywords: Simmental cattle, functional longevity, survival analysis, heritability, breeding value.

Introduction

Longevity is the most important functional trait in the majority of selection indices all over the world. In dairy cows it is more relevant to speak about so called functional longevity (Ducrocq, 1987). Functional longevity represents the ability of a cow to avoid involuntary culling due to low production. It is an important trait to measure the general fitness of a cow, particularly when direct measures of the functional traits (health and reproductive performance) are not available. In Croatia, conformation traits and somatic cell count are the only non production traits recorded at national level, making the genetic evaluation

of functional longevity very important in order to improve functional characteristics and animal welfare of cows.

Increased longevity in dairy cows affects the average herd production due to an increase in the number of mature cows, which produce more milk than young cows. Also, it influences economics of the farm by reducing expenses for replacement costs and veterinary treatments. Furthermore, a higher herd life gives the potential to increase selection intensity on milk production.. In general, the relative economic value of longevity compared to milk production largely depends on the actual level of herd life in the respective population (Essl, 1998). According to Burnside et al. (1984) and Allaire and Gibson (1992), the range of the economic value of longevity is between 25 to 70% of the value for production. For Austrian conditions, Essl (1982) calculated that a decrease of average herd life from 5 to 4, 4 to 3 and 3 to 2 lactations is economically equivalent to a decline of 263, 572 and 2246 kg FCM (fat corrected milk) per lactation, respectively. According to other studies, the relative economic value of one additive genetic standard deviation of herd life compared to that of milk yield (set to 1) was within range of 0.25 to 1.01 with a rough average of 0.5 (Veerkamp et al., 1995, Van Raden and Wiggans, 1995, Miesenberger, 1997). The relative values of yield and herd life derived from several studies indicate that yield is 1 to 8 times as important as herd life (Van Arendonk, 1991, Dekkers, 1993, Harris and Freeman, 1993). In spite of this rather wide range of the relative economic weight, herd life is the most important functional trait in all studies.

For longevity analysis, Weibull proportional hazard models (Ducrocq and Sölkner, 1998a) are used by most of the authors, and these provide heritability estimates for longevity from 0.04 to 0.21 (Ducrocq, 1999, Schneider and Miglior, 1999, Vollema et al., 2000, Páčova et al., 2005, Sewalem et al., 2005, Meszaros et al., 2008, Bonetti et al., 2009). Although this approach is considered as the most appropriate way to analyze longevity data, certain problems related to the existence of censored records still remain. When used for genetic evaluation and estimation of breeding values of sires, the predictive power of survival analysis directly depends on the proportion of censored records. An increased proportion of censored records results in lower reliabilities particularly for young sires (Vukasinovic, 1999).

In Croatia, genetic evaluation of longevity in dairy cattle is still not considered in breeding programs. Raguz et al. (2011) determined factors affecting longevity in Croatian Simmental and Holstein cows. The time dependent effects as the level of production within herd and parity had the highest impact on the functional length of productive life.

The aim of this study was to analyze effects affecting length of productive life as well as to estimate genetic parameters and breeding values for functional longevity in Croatian Simmental cows.

Material and methods

Longevity data and production records were provided by the Croatian Agricultural Agency and collected on December 11, 2009. After editing, records from 49,659 Simmental cows with first calving from January 1997 through May 2008 remained for analysis. All cows were required to have valid sire, paternal grandsire and granddam identification as well as age at first calving between 20 and 40 months. The total number of herds over the whole period, assigned to 4 geographical regions, was 10,754 comprising herds with only 1 to maximum 66 cows due to deleting the animals with unknown sire pedigree. The minimum number of daughters per sire was 10 with a total number of sires of 251.

The average length of productive life was 3.10 years for all animals, 2.95 for the uncensored records and 3.55 years for the censored records. Average age at first calving was 27 months. Milk production in first lactation was in average 3,891 kg with an SD of $\pm 1,036$ kg while the average lifetime production was 10,186 kg with an SD of $\pm 6,254$ kg (Table 1).

Table 1: Basic statistics of the analysed data

Longevity was defined as the number of days from first calving until culling or censoring. For the animals with missing culling date, the date of the last known lactation was used. In case of missing information on culling, the cow was considered as culled if the number of days between the end of last lactation and the date of data collection exceeded 365 days. Otherwise, the record was considered as right censored. If the cow reached more than 7 lactations, it was considered as censored at the end of 7th lactation because of low number of animals in higher lactations. In total, the censoring procedure resulted in 11,559 (23.28%) right censored cows.

The following proportional hazards model was used:

$$\lambda(t) = \lambda_0(t) \exp (ys_i + rp_j + afc_k + reg_l + hs_m + s_n)$$

where: $\lambda(t)$ = hazard function (instantaneous probability of culling) for a given cow at time t ; $\lambda_0(t)$ = Weibull baseline hazard function with scale parameter λ and shape parameter ρ ; ys_i = random time dependent effect of year*season following a log-gamma distribution; rp_j = the fixed time dependent effect of the relative milk production; afc_k = the fixed time independent effect of age at first calving; reg_l = the fixed time independent effect of region; hs_m = the fixed time independent effect of herd size; s_n = random time independent effect of sire. The model was stratified by parity, resetting the baseline to 0 for each stratum.

For estimation of the standard lactation milk yield, the Test interval method approved by ICAR (2009) was used. The relative milk production in each lactation was calculated after their adjustment to the first lactation, as the milk production of a cow was compared to the herd average in the given year. The

resulting difference was expressed in standard deviations and divided into 9 classes. The details about calculations of relative production were described in Mészáros et al. (2008). To account for culling for production, the effect of within herd production level was included, which resulted into estimation of the functional productive life. The lower and upper bounds for these classes are given in Table 2.

The effect of the herd size was taken into account using 4 classes. The highest proportion of the cows was from small herds less than 6 animals. Other animals were divided into classes ≥ 6 and < 10 , ≥ 10 and < 15 and ≥ 15 as shown in Table 4.

The cows were grouped according to the slightly different climatic conditions in four groups covering the four Croatian regions: Slavonia, North-West Croatia, Upland Croatia and Mediterranean Croatia (Table 5.). According to the age of first calving, cows were divided in 7 different classes (Table 3). The year*season effect was taken into account through three seasons, where the periods January to April May to September and October to December represented seasons 1 to 3, respectively..

The effect of the parity was integrated in the model as a stratification variable where a different hazard line is estimated for each parity, and thus no results for risk ratios were obtained for this effect.

The heritability was calculated as proposed by Yazdi et al. (2002):

$$h^2 = 4\sigma_s^2 / [\sigma_s^2 + (1/p)] \quad (1)$$

where: h^2 = the coefficient of heritability for functional length of productive life; σ_s^2 = the genetic variance of sires; p = the proportion of uncensored records.

Breeding values for functional length of productive life were computed using these parameter estimates. Average breeding values were calculated compared to the mean and standard deviation of the group of base sires, with birth year between 1995 and 1999. The following equation for breeding value computation was used:

$$BV = \{[(estimate - a)/sd] * (-12)\} + 100 \quad (2)$$

where: BV = the breeding value of a sire; *estimate* = the regression coefficient estimate for a particular bull; a = the mean of estimates in the group of base sires; sd = the standard deviation of estimates of base sires. The minus sign represents the alternation of breeding values to express longer productive life with higher values.

Reliability values for each bull were computed according to Ducrocq (1999):

$$R = n / \{n + [(4 - h^2) / h^2]\} \quad (3)$$

where: R = the value of the reliability; n = the number of uncensored daughters of a bull; h^2 = the estimated heritability of functional length of productive life.

All analyses were conducted using the software Survival Kit V6.0 (Ducrocq et al., 2010), while the initial textual file was prepared using SAS 9.1.

Results

All effects in the model were found to be highly significant ($P < 0.01$). The Weibull parameter ρ was estimated separately for each parity due to using piecewise Weibull model and accounting parity as a stratification variable in the model. The lowest ρ was estimated for the sixth parity (2.96) and the highest (4.56) for the first parity. It means that the increase of the culling risk is the highest for animals in the first parity. The results for fixed effects and the random effect of the year*season were all presented as relative culling risks, defined as the ratio between estimated risk of being culled under the influence of certain environmental factor ($\exp(\beta_i)$ for level i) and the reference class with risk ratio set to 1 ($\beta_i=0$). Values of risk ratio >1 indicate higher culling risk associated with that effect in comparison with the reference class and vice versa. For example, if the relative culling risk for a given class is 4, it means that a cow in that class has four times higher chance to be culled compared with a cow in the reference class (set to 1) for a given effect. So if the relative culling risk for a given class is 0.3, then a cow in that particular class has a 70% less chance of being culled than a cow in the reference class.

The culling risks for relative milk production are presented in Table 2. The cows with the highest amount of milk production were at lowest risk to be culled. In contrary, the risk continuously increased with decreasing relative milk production. Cows with a milk yield ± 0.2 standard deviations relative to the herd mean were assigned as a reference class. In comparison with cows in the reference class, the cows with the highest milk yields (more than 1.5 standard deviations aboveherd mean) were at approximately 2.4 times lower risk to be culled. Cows with milk yield 1.5 standard deviations below the herd mean were 2.7 times more likely to be culled than cows with average milk yields.

Table 2: Estimates of risk ratios for classes of the relative milk yield

Age at first calving had weak effect on culling risks (Table 3). The highest risk ratio was found for the cows that first calved at approximately 24 months of age. Generally speaking, cows having calved for the first time at lower age were more exposed to be culled. Later calvers were at slightly lower risks of culling.

Table 3: Estimates of risk ratios for classes of age at first calving

Table 4 shows the results for the effect of the herd size. The highest selection pressure was perceived in bigger herds (>15 animals) resulting in a risk ratio of

1.54. The lowest risk of culling was found for the class of the herd size between 6 and 10 animals which is also assigned as a reference class.

Table 4: Estimates of risk ratios for classes of the herd size

The effect of region affected longevity in a lower degree (Table 5). Cows from Eastern, Upland and Mediterranean Croatia had slightly lower chance to be culled compared to North-West region.

Table 5: Estimates of risk ratios for classes of the country region

Figure 1 presents the culling risks for the random time dependent effect of the year*season of calving. A slightly decreasing trend between 1999 and 2006 was determined followed by unclear tendency in the last few years. Overall, in 1998's and 2007's season 3 the highest risk ratios were found while in 2008's season 2 and 3 the lowest risks of culling were found.

Figure 1: Estimates of risk ratios for the year*season effect

Our statistical model for the analysis of functional longevity resulted in sire variance of 0.023, which is a heritability of 0.06. Figure 2 shows the distribution of estimated breeding values of the sires calculated using equation (2).

Figure 2: Estimated breeding values of the sires

The values ranged from 67 to 165. Sires born between 1995 and 1999 were taken as the base population with an average breeding value of 100.

The genetic trend for functional longevity comprising reliability values for each year is presented in Figure 3. The minimum number of sires born per year was 5.

Reliability of breeding values of sires was calculated according to equation (3) with an average reliability of 0.49 and a standard deviation of 0.28. The average reliability was achieved from bulls with 63 uncensored daughters. To achieve higher reliabilities as 60, 70, 80 and 90%, 97, 153, 264 and 658 uncensored daughters were needed, respectively. Maximum reliability of 0.95 was found for a sire with 1,286 uncensored daughters.

Figure 3: Genetic trend for functional longevity

Discussion

Our model contained seven effects including time-dependent (milk production within herd, parity, year*season) and time-independent effects (age at first calving, herd size, region and sire). Many authors reported milk production as one of the most important effects affecting longevity (Vollema et al., 2000,

Vukasinovic et al., 2001, Canavesi et al., 2004, Sewalem et al., 2005, Mészáros et al. 2008, Bonetti et al., 2009, Raguz et al., 2011). According to our data structure, milk production was taken into account through 9 classes ranging from <-1.5 to $>+1.5$ SD relative to herd mean. However, this effect was considered through different number of classes according to different authors ranging from only 3 (Sewalem et al., 2005) to 9 (Ducrocq et al., 1988, Canavesi et al., 2004). Our results report a clear tendency in risk ratios going from the highest value (2.7) for animals with low milk yields to lowest value (0.4) for animals with highest production. That kind of trend is obvious in most of other studies (Páčova et al., 2005, Chirinos et al., 2007, Mészáros et al., 2008, Bonetti et al., 2009) and corresponds to our results. Vukasinovic et al. (2001) found that cows producing less than 80% of the herd average are at 3 to 4 times higher risk than their herd-mates with average production. High producing cows are less likely to be culled. Páčova et al. (2005) and Mészáros et al. (2008) found similar results for high producing animals being at approximately 5 times higher risk to be culled than the herd average. Similar findings were reported by Vollema and Groen (1998), Weigel et al. (2003) and Sewalem et al. (2005). In many studies, fat and protein yield was investigated showing the same trend, but with lower range of culling risk compared to milk production (Vukasinovic et al., 2001, Egger-Danner et al., 2005, Sewalem et al., 2005, Chirinos et al., 2007).

Age at first calving is generally considered as an effect of minor importance for functional longevity. The risk of culling by age at first calving was almost unchanged for all classes except class 2 for cows calving for the first time at 24 months. This class had the highest risk ratio value of 1.12. Many authors found this effect as a significant one, showing a slight increase in culling risks towards later calvers (Dürr et al., 1999, Vollema et al., 2000, Caraviello et al., 2004, Páčova et al., 2005). It could be speculated that the higher age at first calving is a signal of low fertility or other health problems of a cow. In our case, younger cows were at higher risk of culling probably due to insufficient development for the first calving in respective age. The risks stabilize around the reference and later classes. Ducrocq (1994) and Ojango et al. (2005) reported no significant effect of the age at first calving.

In the Croatian population of Simmental cows, the highest proportion of animals belongs to small herds, that is herds containing <6 animals. From this reason, effect of the herd size change could not be taken into account in contrary to other studies (Dürr et al., 1999, Vollema et al., 2000, Forabosco et al., 2006, Chirinos et al., 2007). Only the effect of herd size was considered. The results were expected showing higher culling risk for animals from herds

bigger than 15 animals. Probably, the selection pressure in such herds is much higher compared to small and less intensive family farms with only few animals.

Due to different climatic condition, Croatia is geographically divided into 3 main regions. For the purpose of our study, one more region (North-West Croatia) was added for a special reason. This region has the most developed dairy farming in Croatia and thus it should be considered separately. The results confirmed our assumption showing that animals from the mentioned region were at higher culling risk compared to other regions. On the other hand, cows from the Mediterranean region were at lowest risk of culling which is also expected due to less intensive farming and smaller herd size compared to other regions. Several studies investigated the effect of country region (Smith et al., 2000, Ducrocq, 2005, Chirinos et al., 2007, Raguz et al., 2011) where Chirinos et al. (2007) obtained the similar consistency of the results among the regions as in our case, concluding that the proposed model could serve as a basis for the development of the model used at the national level. In contrast, Smith et al. (2000) found different percentages of cows leaving the herds in different regions with providing the reasons of disposals. Ducrocq (2005) found systematic differences between regions in France, although the magnitude of the effect was not extremely large.

In contrast to results of some previous studies where lactation number and stage of lactation were estimated jointly (Ducrocq, 1994, Dürr et al., 1999, Vukasinovic et al., 2001, Roxström and Strandberg, 2002, Meszaros, 2008), in our research only the effect of parity was taken into account as a stratification variable because of the dataset specificity. In fact, there was no cows in earlier lactation stages but only cows over 150 days in lactation. Due to this reason, effect of the lactation stage couldn't be taken into account.

In case of taking the parity as a stratification variable using a piecewise Weibull model, a different hazard line was estimated for each of the 7 parities. The approach using piecewise Weibull hazard function with stratification within year and parity was applied by Ducrocq (2005) and Van der Linde (2004) in an improved model for the French and Dutch genetic evaluation of dairy bulls on length of productive life of their daughters.

A slightly decreasing trend was observed for the effect of the year*season. The lowest risk ratios were found in years near the end of the study which could be explained by higher proportion of censored animals in those years. In the last few years an unclear increase of risk ratios was determined. Namely, it could be explained by a higher proportion of animals that ended with first

lactation in 2009 but did not start with the second lactation and thus were culled. It resulted in an increase of culling risks in a particular year.

Raguz et al. (2011) found an increasing tendency in risk ratios for this effect using different models. This trend could be partially explained by more intensive selection in recent years, also due to the fewer number of observations in first few years of the research.

The sire variance obtained in this study was 0.023 resulting in a heritability estimate of 0.06. These results are in accordance with many other studies reporting low heritability values of functional longevity (Vollema et al., 2000, Caraviello et al., 2004, Chirinos et al., 2007, Jamrozik et al., 2008, Mészáros et al., 2008). Higher values of heritability ranging from 0.09 to 0.21 were found by Ducrocq (1999), Dürr et al. (1999), de Jong et al. (1999), Roxström et al. (2003) and Egger-Danner et al. (2005).

To estimate breeding values, a base group of sires born between 1995 and 1999 was defined. For comparison to all other bulls, the average and standard deviation of these sires were used. Younger bulls had lower average breeding values than the older ones probably due to higher number of still living daughters. In genetic trend from 1986 to 2001 no tendency was observed due to lack of any selection for longevity. Distribution of breeding value reliabilities over the sires' birth years indicates clear trend. Lower values from later years are as expected due to higher number of censored daughters. Sires born from 1986 to 1988 had lower reliability values probably due to social events in early 1990's in Croatia and eventually decreasing number of daughters per sire. A peak value from 1993 could be the consequence of considerable import of animals from western countries resulting with large increase in a number of daughters.

The reliability of breeding values depends on the number of uncensored records and is very low for young sires having only few uncensored daughters. Increasing the reliability of breeding values for young sires will be one of the most important tasks for the population of Simmental bulls in Croatia in the future. In a case when a young sire has no uncensored daughters, the reliability of his breeding value is based on pedigree information only (Vukasinovic et al., 2001). The reliability could be increased by taking weighted information on censored daughters into account as well as by the inclusion of information from early predictors (type traits, somatic cell count and fertility). Posavi et al. (1999) found high heritability estimates of 0.50, 0.43 and 0.42 for teat placement, length of rump and rear legs side view respectively in Croatian Simmental cows. Moderate and lower heritability estimates were found for back length, rump height, rump width, muscularity

and some of the udder traits as suspensory ligament, teat length and teat thickness. In an analysis of the relationships between type traits and longevity using survival analysis, Jovanovac and Raguž (2011) found high linkage between 12 type traits and true longevity. It indicates that type traits could be used in further studies with aim to improve early predictions of longevity breeding values, particularly for young sires with higher number of censored daughters. Inclusion of type traits using MACE techniques (Schaeffer, 1994) could also be promising and requires further research.

Conclusion

A piecewise Weibull proportional hazard model was used to evaluate the impact of several factors on the culling risk and to estimate the heritability and breeding values of sires for functional longevity. Effect of parity was included as a stratification variable where different baseline hazard was estimated for each parity. The factors with highest impact on the length of productive life were milk production within the herd and year*season. Cows with the lowest milk production level within herd had 2.7 times higher chance to be culled than animals with average milk production. On the other hand, animals with the highest production level were at approximately 2.4 times lower risk to be culled in compare to cows with average milk yields. The effect of year*season showed respectable impact on cows longevity with a slightly decreasing trend until 2006 followed by unclear tendency in the last few years. Considering the effect of herd size, animals from bigger herds were at relatively higher risks of culling (1.5) than the animals from smaller herds. Effects of age at first calving and country region were effects of minor importance for longevity. A sire variance of 0.023 and heritability estimate of 0.06 were calculated for the data set considered. Average reliability of the breeding values was 0.49 with the maximum value of 0.95. In genetic trend, no clear tendency by year of birth of bulls was observed. Further analyses of the length of productive life should be conducted with the aim of improving the reliabilities of breeding values of young sires.

References

1. Allaire FR, Gibson JP (1992) Genetic value of herd life adjusted for milk value. *J Dairy Sci* 75, 1349-1356
2. Bonetti O, Rossoni A, Nicoletti C (2009) Genetic parameters estimation and genetic evaluation for longevity in Italian Brown Swiss bulls. *Ital J Anim Sci* 8, 30-32
3. Burnside EB, Mc Clintok AE, Hammond K (1984) Type, production and longevity in dairy cattle. *Animal Breeding Abstract* 52, 711-719

4. Canavesi F, Biffani S, Samoré AB (2004) Improvements in genetic evaluation for longevity for the Italian Holstein. Abstract 230, EAAP, Bled, Slovenia, September 5-9
5. Caraviello DZ, Weigel KA, Gianola D (2004) Prediction of longevity breeding values for US Holstein sires using survival analysis methodology. *J Dairy Sci* 87, 3518-3525
6. Chirinos Z, Carabaño MJ, Hernandez D (2007) Genetic evaluation of length of productive life in the Spanish Holstein-Friesian population. Model validation and genetic parameters estimation. *Livest Sci* 106, 120–131
7. De Jong G, Vollema AR, van der Beek S, Harbers A (1999) Breeding value for functional longevity in the Netherlands. Proc. Int. Workshop on EU Concerted Action Genetic Improvement of Functional Traits in Cattle (GIFT); Longevity. Jouy-en-Josas, France, INTERBULL Bull. 21
8. Dekkers JCM (1993) Theoretical basis of genetic parameters of herd life and effects of response to selection. *J Dairy Sci* 76, 1433-1443.
9. Ducrocq V (1987) An analysis of length of productive life in dairy cattle. Ph.D. Diss., Cornell Univ., Ithaca, NY.
10. Ducrocq V, Quaas RL, Pollak EJ, Casella G (1988) Length of productive life of dairy cows. 1. Justification of a Weibull model. *J Dairy Sci* 71, 3061–3070
11. Ducrocq V (1994) Statistical analysis of length of productive life for dairy cows of the Normande breed. *J Dairy Sci* 77, 855–866
12. Ducrocq V, Sölkner J (1998a) Implementation of a routine breeding value evaluation for longevity of dairy cows using survival analysis techniques. Proc. 6th world congress on genetics applied to livestock production, Armidale, Australia, 26
13. Ducrocq V (1999): Two years of experience with the French genetic evaluation of dairy bulls on production adjusted longevity of their daughters. Proc. Int. Workshop on EU Concerted Action Genetic Improvement of Functional Traits in Cattle (GIFT); Longevity. Jouy-en-Josas, France, INTERBULL Bull. 21
14. Ducrocq V, Sölkner J, Mészáros G (2010) Survival Kit v6 - A software package for survival analysis. In 9th World Congress on Genetics Applied to Livestock Production, Leipzig, Germany
15. Ducrocq V (2005) An improved model for the French genetic evaluation of dairy bulls on length of productive life of their daughters. *Anim Sci* 80, 249-256
16. Dürr JW, Monardes HG, Cue RI (1999) Genetic analysis of herd life in Quebec Holsteins using Weibull models. *J Dairy Sci* 82, 2503–2513
17. Egger-Danner C, Kadlečík O, Fuerst C, Kasarda R (2005) Joint genetic evaluation for functional longevity for Pinzgau cattle. 56th Annual

- Meeting of the European Association for Animal Production, Uppsala, Sweden
18. Essl A (1982) Untersuchungen zur Problematik einer auf hohe Lebensleistung ausgerichteten Zucht bei Milchkühen. Züchtungskunde 54, 1. Mitteilung, 267-275; 2. Mitteilung, 361-377.
 19. Essl A (1998) Longevity in dairy cattle breeding: a review. Livest Prod Sci 57, 79-89
 20. Forabosco F, Bozzi R, Filippini F, Boettcher P, Van Arendonk JAM, Bijma P (2006) Linear model vs. survival analysis for genetic evaluation of sires for longevity in Chianina beef cattle. Livest Sci 101, 191–198
 21. Harris BL, Freeman AE (1993) Economic weights for milk yield traits and herd life under various economic conditions and production quotas. J Dairy Sci 76, 868-879.
 22. ICAR – International Committee for Animal Recording (2009) Guidelines approved by the General Assembly held in Niagara Falls, USA, 18 June 2008
 23. Jamrozik J, Fatehi J, Schaeffer LR (2008) Comparison of models for genetic evaluation of survival traits in dairy cattle: a simulation study. J Anim Breed Genet 125, 75-83
 24. Jovanovac S, Raguž N (2011) Analysis of the relationships between type traits and longevity in Croatian Simmental cattle using survival analysis. Agric conspec sci 76, 249-253
 25. Mészáros G, Fuerst C, Fuerst-Waltl B, Kadlečík O, Kasarda R, Sölkner J (2008) Genetic evaluation for length of productive life in Slovak Pinzgau cattle. Arch Tierz 51, 438-448
 26. Miesenberger J (1997) Breeding goal definition and index selection for Austrian cattle population. Doctoral thesis, Univ. of Agricultural Sciences, Vienna. [in German]
 27. Ojango JMK, Ducrocq V, Pollott GE (2005) Survival analysis of factors affecting culling early in the productive life of Holstein-Friesian cattle in Kenya. Livest Prod Sci 92, 317–322
 28. Páčová E, Zavadilová L, Sölkner J (2005) Genetic evaluation of the length of productive life in Holstein cattle in the Czech Republic. Czech J Anim Sci 50, 493-498
 29. Posavi M, Kapš M, Čurik I, Kljujev A (1999) Heritability estimates of type traits scored by linear scoring “System ‘97”. Agric conspec sci 64, 59-65
 30. Raguz N, Jovanovac S, Gantner V, Mészáros G, Sölkner J (2011) Analysis of factors affecting the length of productive life in Croatian dairy cows. Bulg J Agric Sci 17, 232-240

31. Roxström A, Strandberg E (2002) Genetic analysis of functional, fertility-, mastitis-, and production- determined length of productive life in Swedish dairy cattle. *Livest Prod Sci* 74, 125-135.
32. Roxström A, Ducrocq V, Strandberg E (2003) Survival analysis of longevity in dairy cattle on a lactation basis. *Genet Sel Evol* 35, 305-318
33. SAS Institute 2002-2003. The SAS System. Version 9.1., Cary, SAS Institute, CD-ROM.
34. Schaeffer LR (1994) Multiple country comparison of dairy sires. *J Dairy Sci* 77, 2671-2678
35. Schneider M del P, Miglior F (1999) A proposal for genetic evaluation for functional herd life in Italian Holsteins. Page 11 in Book of Abstracts of the 50th Meeting Eur. Assoc. Anim Prod., Zurich, Switzerland.
36. Sewalem A, Kistemaker GJ, Ducrocq V, Van Doormaal BJ (2005) Genetic analysis of herd life in Canadian dairy cattle on a lactation basis using a Weibull proportional hazards model. *J Dairy Sci* 88, 368–375
37. Smith JW, Ely LO, Chapa AM (2000) Effect of region, herd size, and milk production on reasons cows leave the herd. *J Dairy Sci* 83, 2980–2987
38. Van Arendonk JAM (1991) Use of profit equations to determine relative economic value of dairy cattle herd life and production from field data. *J Dairy Sci* 74, 1101-1107.
39. Van Der Linde C, de Jong G (2004) Using a piecewise Weibull mixed model in the genetic evaluation for longevity. *INTERBULL Bull.* 32, 157-162
40. VanRaden PM, Wiggans GR (1995) Productive life evaluations, calculation, accuracy, and economic value. *J Dairy Sci* 78, 631-638
41. Veerkamp RF, Hill WG, Stott AW, Brotherstone S, Simm G (1995) Selection for longevity and yield in dairy cows using transmitting abilities for type and yield. *Anim Sci* 61, 189-197
42. Vollema AR, Van Der Beek S, Harbers AGF, de Jong G (2000) Genetic evaluation for longevity of Dutch dairy bulls. *J Dairy Sci* 83, 2629–2639
43. Vollema AR, Groen AF (1998) A comparison of breeding value predictors for longevity using a linear model and survival analysis. *J Dairy Sci* 81, 3315-3320
44. Vukasinovic N, Moll J, Casanova L (2001) Implementation of a routine genetic evaluation for longevity based on survival analysis techniques in dairy cattle populations in Switzerland. *J Dairy Sci* 84, 2073–2080
45. Vukasinovic N (1999) Application of survival analysis in breeding for longevity. Proc. 4th Int. Workshop on Genetic Improvement on functional traits in cattle. Jouy-en-Josas, France, *INTERBULL Bull.* 21

46. Weigel KA, Palmer RW, Caraviello DZ (2003) Investigation of factors affecting voluntary and involuntary culling in expanding dairy herds in Wisconsin using survival analysis. *J Dairy Sci* 86, 1482-1486
47. Yazdi MH, Visscher PM, Ducrocq V, Thompson R (2002) Heritability, reliability of genetic evaluations and response to selection in proportional to selection in proportional hazard models. *J Dairy Sci* 85, 1563-1577




Figure 1: Estimates of risk ratios for the year*season effect




Figure 2: Distribution of estimated bulls' breeding values




Figure 3: Genetic trend for functional longevity

Table 1: Basic statistics of the analysed data

Variable	\bar{x}	SD	Minimum	Maximum
LPL (years) - all data	3.10	1.60	0.41	10.50
LPL (years) - uncensored	2.95	1.59	0.41	10.50
LPL (years) - censored	3.55	1.52	0.91	9.72
Age at first calving (months)	27	3.33	20	40
Milk production in 1st lactation (kg)	3891	1036	1010	9918
Lifetime milk production, kg	10186	6254	1013	48290

Table 2: Estimates of risk ratios for classes of the relative milk yield

Class	Lower bound ¹	Upper bound ¹	Risk ratio
1		<-1.5	2.661
2	-1.5	<-1.0	1.582
3	-1.0	<-0.5	1.345
4	-0.5	<-0.2	1.095
5	-0.2	<+0.2	1.000
6	+0.2	<+0.5	0.985
7	+0.5	<+1.0	0.940
8	+1.0	+1.5	0.877
9	>+1.5		0.421

¹ expressed as difference in standard deviations compared to herd average

Table 3: Estimates of risk ratios for classes of age at first calving

Class	Lower bound ¹	Upper bound ¹	Risk ratio
1		698	1.064
2	698	739	1.115
3	739	795	1.050
4	795	870	1.000
5	870	954	0.979
6	954	1011	0.992
7	1011		0.960

¹ in days

Table 4: Estimates of risk ratios for classes of the herd size

Class	Lower bound ¹	Upper bound ²	Risk ratio
1		6	1.183
2	6	10	1.000
3	10	15	1.372
4	15		1.535

^{1,2} Lower and upper bounds present the number of animals in a herd

Table 5: Estimates of risk ratios for classes of the country region

Class	Risk ratio
Eastern Croatia (Slavonia)	0.858
North-West Croatia	1.000
Upland Croatia	0.916
Mediterranean Croatia	0.801