

Evidence of initial state interactions in multinucleon pion absorption

D. Androić,⁹ G. Backenstoss,¹ D. Bosnar,⁹ H. Breuer,⁴ H. Döbbling,⁸ T. Dooling,⁷ M. Furić,⁹ P. A. M. Gram,³ N. K. Gregory,⁵ A. Hoffart,^{2,8} C. H. Q. Ingram,⁸ A. Klein,⁷ K. Koch,⁸ J. Köhler,¹ B. Kotliński,⁸ M. Kroedel,¹ G. Kyle,⁶ A. Lehmann,¹ A. O. Mateos,⁵ K. Michaelian,⁸ T. Petković,⁹ R. P. Redwine,⁵ D. Rowntree,⁵ U. Sennhauser,⁸ N. Šimičević,⁵ R. Trezeciak,² H. Ullrich,² M. Wang,⁶ M. H. Wang,⁶ H. J. Weyer,^{1,8} M. Wildi,¹ and K. E. Wilson⁵

(LADS Collaboration)

¹University of Basel, CH-4056 Basel, Switzerland

²University of Karlsruhe, D-76128 Karlsruhe, Germany

³LAMPF, Los Alamos, New Mexico 87545

⁴University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland 20742

⁵Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139

⁶New Mexico State University, Las Cruces, New Mexico 88003

⁷Old Dominion University, Norfolk, Virginia 23529

⁸Paul Scherrer Institute, CH-5232 Villigen PSI, Switzerland

⁹University of Zagreb, HR-41001 Zagreb, Croatia

(Received 1 February 1996)

The absorption of 239 MeV positive pions on ³He, ⁴He, N, and Ar is studied. A strong enhancement is seen in the differential cross sections in the kinematic region associated with free π - p scattering. This enhancement is interpreted as a clear signature of initial state interactions in the absorption of pions on nuclei. [S0556-2813(96)50306-7]

PACS number(s): 25.80.Ls, 13.75.Gx, 25.10.+s

The study of pion absorption in nuclei remains a subject of considerable interest in medium energy physics, primarily because the absorption process frequently appears to involve more than two nucleons. It had long been expected that absorption on two nucleons would be the dominant process of sharing the energy and momentum of the pion. Two-nucleon absorption has indeed been observed to be important, but absorption on more than two nucleons also appears to play a significant role [1,2]. The role of nucleon rescattering in raising the final-state particle multiplicity has been largely understood [3,4], but that of an initial state interaction (ISI) of the incident pion, which based on the free π - N and N - N cross sections should be a significantly larger effect, has not been established.

In a simple picture of ISI, a pion scatters off a nucleon before being absorbed on a nucleon pair, and the originally-scattered nucleon has a correlation between its energy and angle given by two-body scattering kinematics. Compared to scattering from a free proton, this correlation is smeared out by the Fermi motion of the nucleon. Early searches for evidence of ISI were not conclusive [5–8], but such a correlation has recently been observed in absorption on ³He and quantitatively identified as an ISI signature [9] by comparison with simulations. In this paper we extend the identification from ³He to ⁴He, N, and Ar for the absorption of positive pions of 239 MeV kinetic energy.

The experiment was performed at the Paul Scherrer Institute in Villigen, Switzerland using the large acceptance detector system (LADS). The LADS detector is a cylindrical, nonmagnetic detector that includes two layers of multiwire proportional chambers (MWPCs) followed by three layers of plastic scintillator. These detector elements provide particle

identification, energy resolution, and tracking capability for a wide dynamic range of medium-energy charged particles. The inclusion of endcaps allows the detector to cover over 98% of 4π solid angle. The energy threshold of the detector, determined mainly by energy losses in the carbon fiber walls of the high-pressure gas target and in the MWPCs, is below 25 MeV for protons. A more complete description of the detector is available elsewhere [10].

For this paper we have focused on ppp final states. In order to enhance the strength of the ISI signal in the data sample it was required that three protons and no other energetic particles be detected, and that each of the protons have more than 30 MeV kinetic energy. This largely removed reactions in which one of the protons was a spectator or was emitted in an evaporation process. In addition, it was required that the unobserved energy be less than 50 MeV in order to exclude most reactions in which undetected nucleons participated. This last restriction was especially important to enhance the visibility of the ISI signal from N and Ar.

Once a sample of events was selected, the correlation between energy and angle was plotted for each of the three detected protons. The results are shown in Fig. 1 for ³He, ⁴He, N, and Ar. The salient feature on the plots is the prominent enhancement in the lower left hand sector, which for ³He has been identified as being due to the recoil proton from ISI [9]. The line passing through this region indicates the relationship between energy and angle for free π^+ - p scattering.

Because of the lack of complete models describing the pion absorption process (especially for the heavier nuclei), it is difficult to fully correct the data in these figures for the detector's acceptance. Apart from any effects from the im-




FIG. 1. Scatter plots of laboratory kinetic energy vs angle from the pion beam axis for ppp final states following the absorption of 239 MeV π^+ on ${}^3\text{He}$, ${}^4\text{He}$, N, and Ar. The solid line shows the kinematics for free π^+p scattering.

posed 30 MeV threshold, the largest missing correction is for the geometrical acceptance of the detector. The losses are thus small between 25° and 155° , but the acceptance falls to zero smoothly over about 15° outside of this range. Structures visible in the figures at energies greater than 180 MeV are largely artifacts of thresholds within the detector. For further discussion of the acceptance and data extraction, see [11].

Figure 2 shows distributions as a function of energy for the data appearing in Fig. 1 between 15° and 25° . For each nucleus, the enhancement identified as ISI in Fig. 1 appears in these spectra as a broad peak near the energy of the recoil proton from free π^+p scattering, which is indicated by the dotted line. The dashed lines on these plots are spectra of protons resulting from simulations combining a uniform distribution over three-nucleon phase space with the detector's response, normalized to the data at the high-energy end of the spectra. In these simulations, the residual nucleus momentum distribution was included by incorporating a weighting factor consistent with a spectator distribution. The phase space spectra show that these peaks are not due to the detector acceptance.

In conclusion, evidence of the existence of ISI in pion absorption has been shown, extending its direct observation from ${}^3\text{He}$ to much heavier nuclei. In Ref. [9], it was estimated that ISI directly accounts for about 30% of the yield from ${}^3\text{He}$ when three protons share the energy of a 239 MeV incident π^+ . Quantitative estimates for the heavier nuclei require a more detailed analysis than presented here. However, the data shown in Fig. 2 indicate that the fraction of ISI in the ppp final state does not greatly change between ${}^3\text{He}$ and Ar.




FIG. 2. The laboratory kinetic energy of protons with polar angles between 15° and 25° for ppp final states following the absorption of 239 MeV π^+ on ${}^3\text{He}$, ${}^4\text{He}$, N, and Ar. The dashed curves are the results of phase-space simulations, and the dotted line shows the average proton energy for free π^+p scattering.

We thank the staff of the Paul Scherrer Institute for the technical support provided to this experiment and P. Weber for helpful discussions. This work was supported in part by the German Bundesministerium für Forschung und Tech-

nologie, the German Internationales Büro der Kernforschungsanlage Jülich, the Swiss National Science Foundation, the U.S. Department of Energy, and the U.S. National Science Foundation.

-
- [1] H. J. Weyer, Phys. Rep. **195**, 295 (1990).
[2] C. H. Q. Ingram, Nucl. Phys. **A533**, 573c (1993).
[3] D. F. Geesaman *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **63**, 734 (1989); G. Garino *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C **45**, 780 (1992).
[4] S. Hyman *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C **47**, 1184 (1993).
[5] W. Brückner *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. **A469**, 617 (1987).
[6] G. Backenstoss *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **222**, 7 (1989).
[7] R. Tacik, E. T. Boschitz, W. Gyles, W. List, R. R. Johnson, C. R. Ottermann, M. Wessler, and U. Weidner, Phys. Rev. C **40**, 256 (1989).
[8] W. Burger *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C **41**, 2215 (1990).
[9] G. Backenstoss *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B (to be published).
[10] T. Altheholz *et al.*, Nucl. Instrum. Methods A (to be published).
[11] D. Rowntree, Ph.D. thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 1995.