



NORTH – WESTERN JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY
(International journal of zoology and animal ecology)

ACCEPTED PAPER

- Online until proofing -

Authors: Olga JOVANOVIĆ GLAVAŠ; Ana KOLARIĆ; Mariann EROSS; Dušan JELIĆ

Title: Morphology and reproduction of the Snake-eyed Skink (*Ablepharus kitaibelii* Bibron & Bory De Saint-Vincent, 1833) in western most parts of its range

Journal: North-Western Journal of Zoology

Article number: e171510

Status: awaiting English spelling editing
awaiting proofing

How to cite:

Jovanović Glavaš O., Kolarić A., Eross M., Jelić D. (2018): Morphology and reproduction of the Snake-eyed Skink (*Ablepharus kitaibelii* Bibron & Bory De Saint-Vincent, 1833) in western most parts of its range. North-Western Journal of Zoology (2018): e171510

Date published: <2018-02-09>

1 **Morphology and reproduction of the Snake-eyed Skink (*Ablepharus kitaibelii* BIBRON &**
2 **BORY DE SAINT-VINCENT, 1833) in western most parts of its range**

3

4 Olga JOVANOVIĆ GLAVAŠ¹, Ana KOLARIĆ², Mariann EROSS³, Dušan JELIĆ^{4*}

5

6 1. Department of Biology, University of Osijek, Cara Hadrijana 8A, Osijek, Croatia

7

2. Travnik 35, 40000 Čakovec, Croatia

8

3. Szent István street 15, 4400 Nyíregyháza, Hungary

9

4. Croatian Institute for Biodiversity, Maksimirska cesta 129/5, Zagreb, Croatia

10 * Corresponding author, D. Jelić, E-mail: jelic.dusan@gmail.com

11

12 **Abstract.** The most western population of the Snake-eyed Skink, discovered recently on
13 Papuk Mountain and Ilok area in Croatia, has never been studied before. Here we examined
14 the morphology and age structure, reproduction, and prevalence of injuries in both
15 populations. We examined 191 individuals in total; 163 adults and 28 juveniles.
16 Morphological analysis was based on 140 adult individuals (129 from Papuk, 11 from Ilok)
17 and 34 juveniles (21 caught in the wild and 13 hatched in captivity). Our results showed that
18 although there is no clear sexual dimorphism, adult animals exhibit slight difference between
19 sexes. In adult individuals in Papuk 34.1 % and in 35 % in juveniles, a tail has been
20 regenerated, while in Ilok in 27.3%. From 2010-2012 nine gravid females collected from
21 Papuk Mountain deposited eggs in the lab. Number of eggs in each clutch was recorded, and
22 each egg was weighed and measured, and the measurements were taken regularly until
23 hatching. Clutch size spanned between 2-4 eggs with an average of 2.78 eggs. Of 25
24 deposited eggs, 9 eggs did not develop. Monitoring of egg sizes showed that average length,
25 width, mass, surface and volume increase linearly during the incubation time, but the growth

26 was allometric. The bigger the size of a female does not result in increased number of eggs,
27 but in increased individual egg size.

28 **Key words:** Reptilia: Squamata, Sauria: Scincidae, sexual dimorphism, Ilok, Papuk

29

30 Running title: **Morphology and reproduction of the Snake-eyed Skink in Croatia**

31

32

North-western Journal of Zoology
Accepted paper - until proofing

33 **Introduction**

34 The Snake-eyed Skink, *Ablepharus kitaibelii* (Bibron and Bory de Saint-Vincent, 1833) is the
35 only representative of family Scincidae in the Central Europe (Herczeg et al. 2004), and is
36 distributed from Slovakia in the north, through the Balkan Peninsula to the south, reaching
37 central-Turkey to the east (Gruber 1981, Schmidtler 1997). The most western population of
38 the Snake-eyed Skink was discovered just recently on Papuk Mountain in Croatia, and the
39 existence of population in Ilok area on the east of Croatia was also reconfirmed (Szövényi &
40 Jelić 2011).

41 The Snake-eyed Skink is one of the smallest lizards in Europe with the snout-vent
42 length (SVL) from 20 to 55 mm in adult individuals weighing from 0.15 to 1.5 grams
43 (Herczeg et al. 2007). In the Carpathian Basin the Snake-eyed Skink inhabits various habitat
44 types: from steppe and shrubs to sub-Mediterranean deciduous forest (Ljubisavljević et al.
45 2002), including different bedrocks (e.g. limestone, sandstone, basalt, loess, calcareous sand;
46 Harnos & Herczeg 2003, Herczeg et al. 2004). Mating of the Snake-eyed Skink takes place in
47 April and May (Fejerváry 1912, Gruber 1981), egg deposition starts in June and continues till
48 mid-August, and hatching occurs from August throughout September.

49 In our research, we aimed at obtaining the data on several aspects of ecology of Snake-
50 eyed Skink. Firstly, we studied the morphology and the age structure of the individuals of the
51 Snake-eyed Skink from two populations in Croatia (Papuk Mountain and Ilok). Since both
52 populations are isolated from each other, and from the main population, we can expect that
53 some differences between them could be observed. Within that part of our study, we also
54 examined the prevalence of injuries in both populations. Secondly, we wanted to gain better
55 insight into its reproduction. Although some general data on its reproduction are known, it is
56 possible that our populations differ from other populations due to their isolation and small
57 population size, but also due to different habitat conditions. These data are of great

58 importance since general knowledge on this species is relatively poor, but also in order for the
59 right conservation measures to be applied.

60

61 **Materials and methods**

62 Site description

63 Data on the individuals of the Snake-eyed Skink were collected from both known localities of
64 this species in Croatia, from Papuk Mountain and from Ilok. The site of Papuk Mt. includes
65 the area in the southern part, near botanical reserve, which is covered by rear thermophilous
66 forest community of *Quercus pubescens* Willd, 1796 with *Fraxinus ornus* Linnaeus, 1753 and
67 *Juniperus communis* Linnaeus, 1753. The localities in Ilok are very fragmented, and the
68 majority of individuals were recorded on the hills of the main City Park in the habitat that is
69 somewhat similar to that on Papuk (thermophilous plants), but also includes the areas covered
70 by invasive species such as *Ailanthus altissima* (Miller) Swingle, 1916 and *Amorpha fruticosa*
71 Linnaeus, 1753. These trees replaced the original forest of *Quercus pubescens* (known from
72 historic descriptions) but still provide favourable habitat.

73

74 Morphology

75 Whole fieldwork was carried out between 2009 and 2012 both in Papuk Mountain and in Ilok.
76 For morphological comparison all the measured individuals were caught by hand. Each
77 individual was photographed from all sides and visually inspected for injuries and tail
78 morphology (presence/absence, regrown), and was assigned into age group (juvenile/adult)
79 based on the SVL, and body and tail colouration (red-orange tail colouration present in
80 juveniles). We measured body mass (BM) and following morphological data of each
81 individual: total length (TL), SVL, tail length (TLL), head length (HL), snout-forelimb length
82 (SFL), forelimb-hindlimb length (FHL), head height (HH) and head width (HW). The

83 measurements were taken with vernier hand calliper with the precision of 0.01 mm. Body
84 mass was recorded with the digital scale with the precision of 0.01 g. Nine individuals from
85 Papuk were euthanized using Chloroform and sex was determined by gonad inspection.

86

87 Reproduction

88 In July in the years 2010 - 2012 in total 21 gravid females were collected from Papuk Mt. to
89 obtain data on its reproduction. The study on the reproduction of the Snake-eyed Skink was
90 carried out in Zagreb, in the facilities of Zagreb Zoo. Gravid females were kept in separate
91 terraria, under conditions that imitate the conditions found in the natural habitat, with
92 provided shelters, and the bottom covered with 2 cm of soil mixed with some vermiculite.
93 Females were fed daily *ad libitum* with crickets, fruit flies and occasionally mealworm larvae.
94 Temperature, humidity and drinking water were monitored daily, and humidity was
95 maintained by spraying manually. Females were weighted daily. After the egg deposition,
96 seven females were sacrificed and their gonads were examined in detail to obtain data on
97 follicle condition and number of eggs present.

98 Within 24 hour after oviposition, number of eggs in each clutch was recorded, and
99 each egg was weighed, and maximal length and maximal width were measured. These
100 measurements were then taken every few days until the hatching of juveniles. The eggs were
101 marked and transferred to incubation chambers without changing their position, and each
102 clutch was kept in separate container, filled with vermiculite (150 g water in 100 g
103 vermiculite). The eggs were incubated on 28°C, under 80 % air humidity. After two weeks of
104 incubation, each egg was covered with transparent plastic cup in order to be able to allocate
105 each juvenile to its egg. Just after the hatching, the same morphometric measurements that
106 were taken on adults in the field were also measured on juveniles.

107

108 Data analysis

109 For morphometric data we calculated basic descriptive statistics: minimum (MIN), maximum
 110 (MAX), average (AVR) and standard error (SE). Data for TL and TLL for those individuals
 111 that had amputated or partial tail were excluded from the analysis. We also calculated the
 112 following ratios: HL/SVL, SFL/SVL, FHL/SVL, HH/SVL, and HW/SVL, which were then
 113 submitted to discriminant analysis and PCA. Statistical analysis were carried out using
 114 software PAST v. 2.06 and Microsoft Excel 2007.

115 Relative clutch mass (RCM) was calculated as the ratio of total clutch mass and mass
 116 of gravid female (Aleksić & Ljubicavljević 2001, Vrcibradic & Rocha 2002).

117 We also estimated the volume (V) and the surface (S) of the eggs. The calculation was based
 118 on the assumption that the eggs have a shape of elongated spheroid, and the following
 119 formulas were applied (following Kratochvíl & Frynta 2006):

120

$$121 \quad V = \frac{4}{3} \pi a b^2 \quad \text{i.e.} \quad V = \frac{4}{3} \pi \left(\frac{1}{2} L\right) \left(\frac{1}{2} W\right)^2$$

122

$$123 \quad S = 2\pi b \left(b + \frac{a \cdot \arcsin[e]}{e} \right), \quad e = \frac{(a^2 - b^2)^{1/2}}{a}$$

124

125 where: b – half of maximal width (W) of the egg (the shortest diameter of ellipsoid)

126 a – half of maximal length (L) of the egg (the longest diameter of ellipsoid)

127 Comparison of female size and the size of its clutch was analysed using linear correlation
 128 models.

129

130 **Results**131 Population age structure

132 In the research period we recorded 191 individuals of the Snake-eyed Skink, and 163 were
133 assigned as adults (152 from Papuk, 11 from Ilok) and 28 as juveniles (27 from Papuk, one
134 from Ilok). Collection sites were randomized over the whole research area but still it is
135 possible that same individuals were captured more than once. First juveniles were recorded on
136 2 August and they appeared up to October in Papuk, and the only juvenile individual from
137 Ilok was found in September. In autumn, the number of adult and juvenile individual was
138 approximately equal, while in spring the number of adult individuals was much higher.

139

140 Morphological characteristics of Snake-eyed Skink

141 Morphological analysis was based on 140 adult individuals (129 from Papuk, 11 from Ilok;
142 Table 1). Morphological analysis of juvenile individuals was based on 34 individuals, 21
143 caught in the wild (20 from Papuk, one from Ilok) and 13 hatched in captivity. Morphometric
144 measurements of juvenile individuals are shown in Tables 2 and 3, i.e. separately are shown
145 the data for the individuals caught in the wild since their age was not known, and separately
146 those individuals hatched in the captivity. In juvenile individuals, tail has red-orange
147 colouration, with the tip of the tail being most intensively coloured and it is gradually lost as
148 the individuals grow (Fig. 1).

149 Adult animals exhibit slight difference between males and females but border values
150 are overlapping. Discriminant analysis indicated 50.71 % of correct gender identification in
151 our sample (Table 4a). Most significant discrimination was shown by L_{SFL}/L_{SVL} and L_{HL}/L_{SVL}
152 (lower in females), followed by L_{FHL}/L_{SVL} (lower in males; Table 4b). Males have
153 proportionally larger head ($HL/SVL = 16.9\%$ and $SFL/SVL = 29.9\%$) compared to the body
154 ($FHL/SVL = 63.8\%$) while in females it is the opposite ($HL/SVL = 13.9\%$ and $SFL/SVL =$
155 24.6% ; $FHL/SVL = 65.8\%$). PCA analysis (Table 5) was performed with discriminated

156 groups resulting from Discriminant analysis (Table 4a). Figure 2 shows significant separation
157 of males and females based on FHL/SVL , SFL/SVL and HL/SVL.

158

159 Prevalence of injuries

160 Of all the adult individuals caught in Papuk, 34.1 % (43 individuals) had a tail being
161 regenerated at least once, or in the process of regeneration, and two individuals had injuries
162 elsewhere on the body. Out of 20 juvenile individuals from Papuk, six had regenerated and
163 one individual had injured tail (35 %). In Ilok, only three of 11 adult individuals (27.3 %) had
164 previously lost their tail.

165

166 Sexual dimorphism

167 Gender in Snake-eyed Skink could not always be determined by probing or popping due to its
168 small size. If done with too much force, both methods could lead to an injury of the
169 individuals. In that context, sex was confirmed only in nine individuals from Papuk, by
170 inspection of gonads, seven females and two males. On the individual inspection, we
171 determined another 12 individuals from Papuk as gravid females. One individual from Ilok
172 was determined as gravid based on the bite marks. Minimal and average SVL and FHL of
173 sexually matured females are higher than the average measurements of the whole examined
174 samples. The biggest individual recorded was also female caught in Papuk (SVL = 62.64).
175 Minimal and average BM of female individuals after the egg deposition was higher than the
176 average BM, which suggests that sexually matured females are generally larger and they
177 could probably be identified based on their size.

178

179 Reproduction study

180 Of 21 female that were taken for the reproduction study (seven in 2010, two in 2011 and 12 in
181 2012), only nine individuals deposited eggs. The other females were probably not gravid. In
182 the females *post partum*, the folds on the skin were not observed, and the only difference was
183 that they were much thinner.

184 In seven females that were sacrificed after the eggs deposition, the biggest observed
185 follicle was 1.37 mm, and in one individual, there were no follicles in its ovaries. Generally,
186 size of the follicles in both ovaries suggests that none of the females examined would have
187 another clutch in the same season (on average 1 (1SD: 0.315) bigger follicles on left ovary,
188 mean size of 0.83 mm; 2 (1SD: 0.397) bigger follicles on right ovary, mean size of 0.86 mm;
189 and few smaller follicles in each of the ovaries).

190 The observed clutch size in females spanned between 2-4 eggs with an average of 2.78
191 eggs. Out of nine clutches, five had 3 eggs, three clutches had 2 eggs and only one clutch had
192 4 eggs. Out of 25 eggs that were deposited, 9 eggs (36 %) did not develop and after they were
193 opened and inspected, they were marked as not fertilized as there were no visible signs of
194 development of embryo. From the rest of the 16 eggs, two eggs failed to hatch and after the
195 inspection of those two eggs, it was observed that there were possible malformations in the
196 lumbar spine and the lower jaw.

197 On average, female had 1.56 young with almost 50 % of the energy invested in
198 offspring being lost up to this stage. Comparison of egg dimensions, volume and mass of both
199 fertilized and non-fertilized eggs did not show significant difference between them (M-W U
200 test; $p > 0.05$; Table 6). Fertilized eggs have bigger mass and continue to grow through
201 incubation.

202

203 Egg growth

204 Incubation time was determined only for two clutches, i.e. six eggs and it lasted 34 days for
205 all six eggs. Monitoring of egg sizes showed that average length, width, mass, surface and
206 volume increase linearly during the incubation time (Fig. 3). Ratio of the initial average
207 length and width is a bit lower then later during the incubation (allometric growth) which
208 shows that the eggs are more elongated at the beginning, and the same happens at the end of
209 the incubation.

210

211 Correlating the size of females and their clutches

212 Since there were no significant differences in the size between fertilized and non-fertilized
213 eggs (Table 7), all the eggs were included in the analysis. Female BM after egg deposition
214 and egg mass show slight negative correlation, but this is not significant ($r = -0.25$; $p =$
215 0.406). Female SVL and clutch size do not show any correlations ($r = 0.136$; $p = 0.727$),
216 similarly to FHL and clutch size ($r = 0.125$; $p = 0.749$). Female BM and clutch size show
217 some positive correlation, however it is also not significant ($r = 0.846$; $p = 0.071$), and similar
218 is found between female SVL and clutch volume ($r = 0.519$; $p = 0.152$), and female FHL and
219 clutch volume ($r = 0.645$; $p = 0.060$).

220 However, SVL and FHL both show positive, significant correlation with volume of
221 each egg (SVL $r = 0.496$; $p = 0.012$; FHL $r = 0,624$; $p = 0.001$; Fig. 4 and Fig. 5). These
222 results suggest that the bigger size of the female does not result in increased number of eggs,
223 but the size of each individual egg increases. Egg volume and SVL of hatchlings are
224 correlated, but due to the small sample size, this correlation is not significant.

225

226 **Discussion**

227 General data on Snake-eyed Skink morphology obtained in our research have shown some
228 differences between the two studied populations. Individuals from Ilok were on average

229 bigger (TL, TLL, SVL, FHL, relative FHL) and heavier than individuals from Papuk, while
230 on the other hand, individuals from Papuk had on average greater head proportions (HEL,
231 HH, HW, SFL). Higher SVL and FHL values were recorded in females, and this could be
232 expected considering its body constitution, since longer body would provide more space for
233 eggs, which is important for fecundity and would be selected for. This could explain larger
234 average body size in Ilok population, since there females were more commonly examined
235 than males. However, in order to confirm this, greater sample size from Ilok would be needed.

236 Furthermore, we confirmed that body length alone is not sufficient to determine the
237 age group of Snake-eyed Skink, and it can be used only as a rough guide, and the only reliable
238 method is by inspecting the gonads of each individual to determine if they are sexually
239 mature.

240 Sex determination in Snake-eyed Skink in the field is a challenging task since none of
241 the commonly used methods (e.g. extrusion of hemipenises) showed to be effective with this
242 species. Generally, the only reliable method for sex determination and determination of sexual
243 maturity in species without distinct sexual dimorphism is by inspection of gonads. Due to its
244 invasiveness, we can rule out this method as an option for larger number of individuals. In
245 that context, the reliable field method for sex determination in the Snake-eyed Skink still
246 needs to be further developed, and our research might be a step towards this goal. When
247 examining the morphometric of females and males, on the graphs we can see that there seem
248 to be some differences. However, due to our small sample size, we could not determine it
249 thoroughly, but these results coincide with the observations from others, e.g. Gruber (1981),
250 Schmidler (1997), Ljubisavljević et al. (2002). Nevertheless, these differences are not very
251 pronounced which allows, for example, the subadult females to be taken as males, so this
252 method can only be used as rough estimations, but not as the only.

253 In juvenile individuals, greater head proportions to body size were expected, since this
254 is common in many species, such as in lacertid lizards (Kirchhof et al. 2012) where allometric
255 growth is frequently observed, and this was also confirmed in our case. Larger heads allow
256 the animals to feed on relatively bigger prey in order to provide more energy. Gruber (1981)
257 and Arnold (2002) observed that juvenile individuals of Snake-eyed Skink mostly rely on
258 their limbs when moving, while as they grow, they gradually change to more snake-like
259 movement, which is also confirmed with the increase of relative body length with the age.

260 Juvenile individuals have insignificantly higher number of injuries than the adults, but
261 since smaller animals are easier to fight down, some of them do not survive the attack, so the
262 total percentage of assault on juveniles is probably much higher than the recorded 35 %. Total
263 number of juvenile records in our study was much lower than the adults, which is also a result
264 of much higher predation pressure on juveniles, and this was confirmed by injuries prevalence
265 data. Since this is a relatively high percentage, it adds to the importance of bright tail
266 colouration in juveniles for the distraction of predators. On the other hand, adult individuals
267 are bigger, adroit and more experienced in escaping predators. However, probably the total
268 rate of injuries may even be higher than the one observed, as it seems that not all of the
269 regenerated tails were identified as such (based on their tail length compared to that of other
270 individuals with intact tails). In Ilok, total percentage of the individuals with regenerated tails
271 was lower than in Papuk population, and this could be a consequence of reduced predation
272 risk due to the higher anthropogenic influence.

273 Gruber (1981) describes Snake-eyed Skink as non-territorial species which rules out
274 the injuries from its conspecific males. On the other hand, Fejérváry (1912) affirms that male
275 to male fights precede the mating, but during this research, we could not confirm this.

276 Although territoriality could explain larger head proportions in males, according to
277 Kirchoff et al. (2012) bigger heads can also be advantageous while holding the female during

278 mating. This could explain the minor differences in head proportions, since in territorial
279 species, these differences are much more pronounced.

280

281 Several authors have reported bright colouration on the belly in males during the
282 breeding season in closely related species, *A. chernovi* (Eiselt 1976, Gruber 1981, Göçmen et
283 al. 1996, Kumlutas et al. 2005, Schmidtler 1997) and *A. budaki* (Schmidtler, 1997), and
284 Ljubisavljević et al. (2002) accounted for similar phenomenon in the hybrid population of *A.*
285 *kitaibelii fitzingeri* x *stepaneki*. However, in our research we observed not only males, but
286 also gravid females with the same bright colouration, which would suggest the colouration to
287 be a sign of sexual activity, and not sex-related, and Göçmen et al. (1996) found similar in *A.*
288 *chernovi* but more commonly in males, so this trait cannot be used in sex determination.

289 During our research we failed to find subadult individuals, and possible reason could
290 be their reduced activities level. We can presume them to be more cautious than the newly
291 hatched individuals, among others, since they do not have the juvenile bright tail colouration.
292 Subadults are probably also less active than the adults since adults spend more time searching
293 for partner, or foraging.

294 Snake-eyed Skink reaches sexual maturity approximately at the age of two, and lives
295 up to 3.5 years (Gruber 1981). This is opposing the theory that short-lived animals reach
296 sexual maturity sooner and commonly have more than one clutch per year in order to
297 maximize the number of offspring during their life span (Adamopoulou & Valakos 2000). We
298 observed that individuals that hatched earlier (e.g. in August), lose their bright colouration
299 before hibernation and are probably sexually mature the following spring, and our
300 observations of juveniles from October with reduced red colouration support this idea. On the
301 other hand, those individuals that hatched later (i.e. October) will lose their colouration only
302 later in the spring and would mate in their second year of life. After mating (April-May) and

303 egg deposition (June till mid-August), hatching occurs from August throughout September
304 (Fejervary 1912, Gruber 1981). Earliest hatching in the Snake-eyed Skink was reported from
305 Slovakia from the end of June (Korsos et al. 2008), but possibly as a consequence of the
306 extremely warm season. Since neither in June nor July we could find newly hatched juveniles,
307 and no vitelogeneous follicles in examined female gonads, we can conclude that Snake-eyed
308 Skink has only one brood per season, which opposes our expectations of at least two broods.
309 Clutch size of our studied population is consistent with the literature data (2-4 eggs per
310 clutch; Gruber 1981, Arnold 2002); however Gruber (1981) found on average four eggs per
311 clutch in *A. k. fitzingeri* and *A. k. stepaneki*, and in our case the average were 2.78 eggs per
312 clutch.

313 During the eggs development, one could expect them to show linear growth of
314 dimensions and mass during the incubation, since this phenomenon has been observed in 64
315 European lacertid species (Bosch & Bout 1998), and it was also confirmed in our case.
316 Generally in reptiles, the eggs of more elongated species are more elongated in order to be
317 able to pass from the females' pelvis (Pritchard 1979, Elgar & Heaphy 1989).

318 In many lizard species, clutch size increases with the increase of female body size, but
319 this correlation is commonly not observed in the species with the small clutch size (Polovic et
320 al. 2013). Females can have either fewer larger or more smaller eggs, and in the Snake-eyed
321 Skink the selection favoured the size of the eggs, over the quantity, and we observed stronger
322 correlation between the eggs' volume and female size than between eggs' number and female
323 size. Olsson & Shine (1997) examined the influence of the two possible causes for this, and
324 concluded that resource availability is the proximal cause, but the volume of the available
325 space in the females' body is also important. Although we found slight correlation between
326 the egg volume and the size of a hatchling, it was not significant and this could be due to the
327 small sample size. Small number of eggs per clutch is compensated by the bigger size of the

328 hatchlings which gives them the advantage in survival in comparison to smaller hatchlings
329 (Adamopoulou & Valakos 2000).

330

331 **Acknowledgements**

332 Authors would like to thank all of the members of Croatian Herpetological Society HYLA
333 that participated in this long term research and staff of Public Institution Nature Park “Papuk”
334 who recognized the value of this project and financially supported it. Especially we would
335 like to acknowledge great contribution of Frano Barišić, Senka Baškiera, Sven Kapelj and
336 Ivan Damjanović. We would like to thank Croatian Ministry of Environmental and Nature
337 Protection for issuing the research permit.

338

339 **References**

- 340 Adamopoulou, C., Valakos, E.D. (2000): Small clutch size in a Mediterranean endemic
341 lacertid (*Podarcis milensis*). Copeia 2000(2): 610-614.
- 342 Aleksić, I., Ljubisavljević, K. (2001): Reproductive cycle in the common wall lizard
343 (*Podarcis muralis*) from Belgrade. Archives of Biological Sciences 53: 73-81.
- 344 Arnold, E.N. (2002): A field guide to the reptiles and amphibians of Britain and Europe. 2nd
345 Edition. London, HarperCollins Publishers.
- 346 Bosch, H.A.J, Bout, R.G. (1998): Relationships between maternal size, egg size, clutch size,
347 and hatchling size in European lacertid lizards. Journal of Herpetology 32: 410-417.
- 348 Eiselt, J. (1976): Ergebnisse zoologischer Sammelreisen in der Türkei Bemerkenswerte Funde
349 von Reptilen. II. Annalen des Naturhistorischen Museums in Wien 80: 803-814.
- 350 Elgar, M.A., Heaphy, L.J. (1989): Covariation between clutch size, egg weight and egg shape:
351 comparative evidence for chelonians. Journal of Zoology 219: 137-152.

- 352 Fejérváry, G.J. (1912): Über *Ablepharus pannonicus* Fitzinger; pp. 547-574. In: Spengel, J.W.
353 (eds), Zoologische Jahrbücher, Abteilung für Systematik, Geographie und Biologie der
354 Tiere Vol. 33, Jena, Giessen.
- 355 Gruber, U. (1981): *Ablepharus kitaibelii* (Bibron & Bory 1833) – Johannisechse. pp. 297-302.
356 In: Böhme, W. (eds): Handbuch der Reptilien und Amphibien Europas Vol. 1,
357 Akademische Verlagsgesellschaft.
- 358 Harnos, K., Herczeg, G. (2003): A pannongyík el terjedése és természetvédelmi helyzete a
359 Központi-Cserhátban és környékén [Distribution and conservation status of the Snake-
360 eyed Skink (*Ablepharus kitaibelii fitzingeri*) in the Central-Cserhát and surroundings].-
361 Folia Historico-Naturalis Musei Matrensis 27: 349-357. [in Hungarian].
- 362 Herczeg, G., Tóth, T., Kovács, T., Korsós, Z., Török, J. (2004): Distribution of *Ablepharus*
363 *kitaibelii fitzingeri* Mertens, 1952 (Squamata: Scincidae) in Hungary. Russian Journal
364 of Herpetology 1: 99-105.
- 365 Herczeg, G., Kovács, T., Korsós, Z., Török, J. (2007): Microhabitat use, seasonal activity and
366 diet of the snake-eyed skink (*Ablepharus kitaibelii fitzingeri*) in comparison with
367 sympatric lacertids in Hungary. Biologia, Bratislava 62: 482-487.
- 368 Kirchhof, S., Jacobsen, N., Richter, K. (2012): Intraspecific variation of morphology,
369 colouration, pholidosis and tail loss rate in a relic lacertid of South Africa,
370 *Australolacerta rupicola* (Sauria: Lacertidae). Salamandra 48: 125-132.
- 371 Korsós, Z., Csekés, R., Takács, E. (2008): New locality records of *Ablepharus kitaibelii*
372 *fitzingeri* Mertens, 1952 from the area surrounding the river Ipel', in Slovakia and
373 adjacent Hungary. North-Western Journal of Zoology 4: 125-128.
- 374 Kratochvíl, L., Frynta, D. (2006): Egg shape and size allometry in geckos (Squamata:
375 Gekkota), lizards with contrasting eggshell structure: why lay spherical eggs? Journal of
376 Zoological Systematics and Evolutionary Research 44: 217-222.

- 377 Kumlutas, Y., Öz, M., Özdemir, A., Rizvan Tunc, M., Durmus, H., Düsen, S. (2005): On the
378 populations of *Ablepharus kitaibelii* (Bibron & Bory, 1833) (Sauria: Scincidae) from
379 South-Western Anatolia. Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences 8: 461-465.
- 380 Ljubisavljević, K., Džukić, G., Kalezić, M.L. (2002): Morphological differentiation of the
381 Snake-eyed skink *Ablepharus kitaibelii* (Bibron & Bory, 1833), in the north-western
382 part of the species' range: systematic implications. Herpetozoa 14(3/4): 107-121.
- 383 Polović, L., Pešić, V., Ljubisavljević, K., Čađenović, N. (2013): Preliminary data on the
384 reproductive characteristics and diet in an insular population of the lacertid lizard
385 *Algyroides nigropunctatus*. North-Western Journal of Zoology 9: 201-205.
- 386 Schmidler, J.Z. (1997): Die *Ablepharus kitaibelii* - Gruppe in Süd-Anatolien und
387 benachbarten Gebieten (Squamata: Sauria: Scinidae). Herpetozoa 10(1/2): 35-63.
- 388 Szövényi, G., Jelić, D. (2011): Distribution and conservation status of Snake Eyed Skink
389 (*Ablepharus kitaibelii* Bibron & Bory, 1833) in Croatia. North-Western Journal of
390 Zoology 7: 20-25.
- 391 Vrcibradic, D., Rocha, C.F.D. (2002): Ecology of *Mabuya agilis* (Raddi) (Lacertilia,
392 Scincidae) at the restinga of Grumari, Riode Janeiro, southeastern Brazil. Revista
393 Brasileira de Zoologia 19: 19-29.

394 Table legends:

395 Table 1: Morphometric measurements of adult individuals from Papuk and Ilok. Number of
396 individuals (n), average (MEAN), standard error (SE), minimum (MIN), maximum (MAX).

397 See materials and methods for other abbreviations.

398 Table 2: Morphometric measurement of newly hatched juveniles. Number of individuals (n),
399 average (MEAN), standard error (SE), minimum (MIN), maximum (MAX). See materials and
400 methods for other abbreviations.

401 Table 3: Morphometric measurements of juvenile individuals caught in the wild. Number of
402 individuals (n), average (MEAN), standard error (SE), minimum (MIN), maximum (MAX).
403 See materials and methods for other abbreviations.

404 Table 4: a) Confusion Matrix of Discriminant analysis done only on indexes (Rows are given
405 groups; Columns are Predicted groups) shows 50.71 % correctly classified; b) Loadings of
406 variables (indexes) in the Discriminant analysis.

407 Table 5: Loadings of variables (indexes) in the PCA analysis

408 Table 6: Measurements of females prior and post oviposition and size of the freshly deposited
409 eggs (including non-fertilised eggs). m_1 – female mass prior to oviposition. m_2 - female mass
410 after oviposition. RCM – relative clutch mass (ratio of clutch mass to maternal mass after
411 oviposition).

412 Table 7: Comparison of mass (m_0), volume (V_0), length (L_0), and width (W_0) of fertilized and
413 non-fertilized eggs.

414 Figure legends:

415 Fig. 1: Red coloration on the tail of juvenile individuals shown in categories based on SVL (A
416 and B, SVL from 16.87 to 26.45 mm); A) newly hatched individuals with intensively red
417 tails, max age of 24 hours (n=6); B) juvenile individuals from the wild with intensively red
418 tails (n=8); C) juvenile individuals with reduced red colouration ventrally or/and dorsally
419 (SVL from 22.77 up to 26.41 mm); D) juvenile individuals without red colouration (n=1; SVL
420 = 26.38 mm); E) juvenile individuals whose tail colouration could not be determined (e.g. tail
421 was missing) (n=5).

422 Fig. 2: PCA analysis of five morphological indexes (PCA 1 explaining 89 % of variation and
423 PCA 2 10 %). Diamond = Males; Cross = Females (individuals in red were confirmed to be
424 female by autopsy); circles (unknown gender).

425 Fig. 3: Egg development in time (N=6): a) egg width, b) egg surface, c) egg volume, d) ratio
426 egg width/ egg length

427 Fig 4: Female size (SVL) and egg volume (V) correlation. Data was log transformed.

428 Fig. 5: Female FHL and egg volume (V) correlation. Data was log transformed.

429 Table 1.

	PAPUK				ILOK				MANN – WHITNEY U	
	n	MEAN (ISE)	MIN	MAX	n	MEAN (ISE)	MIN	MAX	U	p
TL (mm)	79	98.48 (1.349)	61.80	123.08	8	110.61 (4.512)	90.10	126.47	162	0.021
SVL (mm)	129	43.99 (0.417)	33.36	62.64	11	46.32 (1.400)	39.4	52.38	505	0.114
TLL (mm)	79	54.79 (1.274)	17.38	70.47	8	76.73 (76.73)	49.21	78.12	185.5	0.057
HL (mm)	129	6.74 (0.034)	5.73	7.64	10	6.38 (0.104)	5.62	6.83	305	0.006
SFL (mm)	129	11.89 (0.073)	10.18	14.10	11	11.82 (0.259)	10.01	13.00	672	0.771
FHL (mm)	129	28.74 (0.354)	19.30	38.76	11	31.11 (1.309)	23.76	36.69	487	0.085
HH (mm)	115	2.94 (0.022)	2.18	3.73	11	2.87 (0.053)	2.59	3.09	533	0.390
HW (mm)	115	4.23 (0.035)	2.04	5.07	11	4.04 (0.088)	3.65	4.55	414	0.060
Weight (g)	92	1.19 (0.033)	0.54	2.00	5	1.37 (0.170)	0.98	1.98	169	0.329
HL/SVL	129	0.155 (0.001)	0.107	0.198	10	0.140 (0.005)	0.118	0.163	331	0.008
SFL/SVL	129	0.273 (0.002)	0.194	0.336	11	0.257 (0.009)	0.200	0.307	499	0.103
FHL/SVL	129	0.652 (0.004)	0.523	0.825	11	0.670 (0.011)	0.603	0.719	507	0.120
HH/SVL	115	0.068 (0.001)	0.048	0.094	11	0.062 (0.002)	0.053	0.072	368	0.022
HW/SVL	115	0.098 (0.001)	0.046	0.129	11	0.088 (0.002)	0.077	0.098	285	0.002

430 Table 2.

431

	Live individuals				Preserved individuals			
	n	MEAN (1SE)	MIN	MAX	n	MEAN (1SE)	MIN	MAX
TL (mm)	6	43.29 (2.206)	37.35	49.16	7	42.43 (0.855)	39.00	45.50
SVL (mm)	6	19.78 (0.894)	16.87	22.41	7	20.23 (0.359)	18.67	21.50
TLL (mm)	6	23.51 (1.364)	19.31	26.75	7	22.01 (0.384)	20.50	23.56
HEL (mm)	6	4.39 (0.065)	4.13	4.58	7	4.39 (0.071)	4.18	4.69
SFL (mm)	6	6.81 (0.274)	5.72	7.79	7	6.98 (0.057)	6.70	7.19
FHL (mm)	6	10.35 (0.965)	6.91	13.50	7	10.64 (0.355)	9.09	12.05
HH (mm)	6	2.13 (0.034)	2.05	2.29	7	2.26 (0.081)	1.90	2.47
HW (mm)	6	2.74 (0.051)	2.55	2.89	7	2.87 (0.043)	2.69	3.01
MASA (g)	6	0.17 (0.015)	0.10	0.20	7	0.004 (0.0002)	0.003	0.005
HEL/SVL	6	0.224 (0.012)	0.191	0.264	-	-	-	-
SFL/SVL	6	0.346 (0.014)	0.317	0.400	-	-	-	-
FHL/SVL	6	0.518 (0.027)	0.410	0.602	-	-	-	-
HH/SVL	6	0.109 (0.005)	0.095	0.125	-	-	-	-
HW/SVL	6	0.140 (0.008)	0.120	0.171	-	-	-	-

432

433 Table 3.

434

	PAPUK				ILOK
	n	MEAN (ISE)	MIN	MAX	
TL (mm)	11	52.55 (1.537)	45.19	59.30	57.97
SVL (mm)	20	23.74 (0.461)	19.94	26.48	27.25
TLL (mm)	11	28.33 (0.935)	24.46	32.92	30.72
HEL (mm)	20	4.69 (0.089)	3.17	5.15	4.97
SFL (mm)	20	8.11 (0.101)	7.25	8.80	7.88
FHL (mm)	20	14.11 (0.326)	11.83	16.38	16.84
HH (mm)	20	2.16 (0.051)	1.93	2.90	2.18
HW (mm)	20	3.03 (0.062)	2.13	3.57	3.08
MASA (g)	2	0.13 (0.010)	0.12	0.14	-
HEL/SVL	20	0.199 (0.005)	0.143	0.248	0.182
SFL/SVL	20	0.343 (0.004)	0.316	0.393	0.289
FHL/SVL	20	0.594 (0.005)	0.557	0.623	0.618
HH/SVL	20	0.092 (0.003)	0.075	0.131	0.080
HW/SVL	20	0.128 (0.003)	0.096	0.144	0.113

435

436 Table 4.

a)	Unknown	Females	Males	Total
Unknown	15	16	19	50
Females	10	36	16	62
Males	6	2	20	28
Total	31	54	55	140

437

b)	Axis 1	Axis 2
L _{Hl} /L _{SVL}	0.0167	0.0062
L _{SFl} /L _{SVL}	0.0246	0.0037
L _{FHL} /L _{SVL}	-0.0095	-0.0188
HH/L _{SVL}	0.0038	0.0057
HW/L _{SVL}	0.0059	0.0086

438

North-western Journal of Zoology
Accepted paper - until proofing

439 Table 5.

	PC 1	PC 2	PC 3	PC 4	PC 5
$L_{\text{HEL}}/L_{\text{SVL}}$	0.2870	0.4860	0.7920	0.2292	0.0402
$L_{\text{SFL}}/L_{\text{SVL}}$	0.4745	0.6210	-0.6011	0.1669	0.0047
$L_{\text{FHL}}/L_{\text{SVL}}$	0.8116	0.5806	0.0508	0.0365	0.0175
HH/L_{SVL}	0.1067	0.1010	0.0723	0.4431	0.8814
HW/L_{SVL}	0.1498	0.1755	0.0600	0.8497	-0.4703

440

North-western Journal of Zoology
Accepted paper - until proofing

441 Table 6.

	n	MEAN (1SE)	MIN	MAX
SVL female (mm)	9	49.05 (0.637)	46.68	53.08
m₁ (g)	9	1.56 (0.094)	1.20	2.00
m₂ (g)	5	1.26 (0.075)	1.07	1.46
Clutch size	9	2.78 (0.133)	2	4
Clutch volume (mm³)	9	411.32 (36.883)	322.68	616.77
Clutch mass (g)	8	0.53 (0.056)	0.37	0.84
RCM (g)	8	0.33 (0.036)	0.25	0.50
Egg mass₀ (g)	22	0.19 (0.005)	0.16	0.26
Egg length₀(mm)	25	10.00 (0.146)	8.71	11.83
Egg width₀ (mm)	25	5.29 (0.084)	4.65	6.07
Egg volume₀ (mm³)	25	148.08 (5.835)	100.20	196.22
Egg surface (mm²)	25	143.64 (3.698)	111.27	171.96
Juvenile mass (g)	6	0.17 (0.015)	0.10	0.20

442

443 Table 7.

	Fertilized eggs				Non-fertilized eggs				MANN-WHITNEY	
	n	MEAN (1SE)	MIN	MAX	n	MEAN (1SE)	MIN	MAX	U	p
m₀ (g)	16	0.19 (0.007)	0.16	0.26	6	0.20 (0.008)	0.16	0.21	32	0.261
V₀ (mm³)	16	148.92 (7.949)	100.20	196.22	9	146.57 (8.524)	110.33	181.19	68	0.846
L₀ (mm)	16	9.84 (0.145)	8.71	10.75	9	10.30 (0.301)	9.01	11.83	50	0.229
W₀ (mm)	16	5.34 (0.118)	4.65	6.07	9	5.20 (0.108)	4.76	5.65	60.5	0.522

444

445