

A LINES-POINTS RELATION FORMULA FOR
SYMMETRIC BLOCK DESIGN

Vladimir Čepulić

Pajo Slamić

University of Zagreb,

University of Rijeka

10000 Zagreb, CROATIA 51000 Rijeka, CROATIA

We proved the following result

Theorem. *If there are t lines of a (v, k, λ) -symmetric block design, all containing the same s points, $0 \leq s < \lambda$, then the union of their point sets should contain at least*

$$m_s(t) = tk + \binom{t-1}{2}s - \binom{t}{2}\lambda$$

points, for $1 \leq t \leq \mu_s = \lfloor \frac{k-s}{\lambda-s} + 1 \rfloor$, and $m_s(t) = m_s(\mu_s)$, for $t > \mu_s$.

This result has useful applications in constructing symmetric block designs, especially for building their orbital structures.

At the beginning we recall some basic definitions.

Definition 1. A finite *incidence structure* $\mathcal{D} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}, I)$ consists of two finite sets, a point set \mathcal{P} and a line set \mathcal{B} , and of the incidence relation $I \subseteq \mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{B}$. We say that P is on x (or x is going through P), if $(P, x) \in I$.

For $P \in \mathcal{P}, x \in \mathcal{B}$, denote with

$$\langle P \rangle = \{y \in \mathcal{B} \mid (P, y) \in I\},$$

the set of lines through P , and with

$$\langle x \rangle = \{Q \in \mathcal{P} \mid (Q, x) \in I\},$$

the set of points on x . The cardinal numbers of these sets we denote by $|P|$ and $|x|$, respectively.

Definition 2. A (v, k, λ) -*symmetric block design*, $v, k, \lambda \in \mathbb{N}$, $k > \lambda$ is an incidence structure $\mathcal{D} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}, I)$ such that:

$$(i) \quad |\mathcal{P}| = |\mathcal{B}| = v = k(k-1)\lambda/\lambda + 1,$$

$$(ii) \quad |x| = |P| = k,$$

$$(iii) \quad |\langle x \rangle \cap \langle y \rangle| = |\langle P \rangle \cap \langle Q \rangle| = \lambda,$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{B}, P, Q \in \mathcal{P}$,

with $x \neq y, P \neq Q$.

In the following we shall use the term *design* for symmetric block design.

Definition 3. Let $\mathcal{D}_1 = (\mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{B}_1, I_1)$ and $\mathcal{D}_2 = (\mathcal{P}_2, \mathcal{B}_2, I_2)$ be two incidence structures. An *isomorphism of \mathcal{D}_1 onto \mathcal{D}_2* is a bijection $\alpha : \mathcal{P}_1 \cup \mathcal{B}_1 \Rightarrow \mathcal{P}_2 \cup \mathcal{B}_2$ such that:

$$(1.) \quad \mathcal{P}_1\alpha = \mathcal{P}_2$$

$$(2.) \quad \mathcal{B}_1\alpha = \mathcal{B}_2 \text{ and}$$

$$(3.) \quad (P, x) \in I_1 \Leftrightarrow (P\alpha, x\alpha) \in I_2.$$

If \mathcal{D}_1 and \mathcal{D}_2 are isomorphic, we write $\mathcal{D}_1 \cong \mathcal{D}_2$.

If α is an isomorphism of \mathcal{D} onto \mathcal{D} , we say that α is an *automorphism*. The full group of automorphism of \mathcal{D} we denote by $Aut(\mathcal{D})$.

Definition 4. For $x \in \mathcal{B}, P \in \mathcal{P}$ and a group $G \leq Aut(\mathcal{D})$, we denote by $xG = \{xg | g \in G\}$, $PG = \{Pg | g \in G\}$ the G -orbits of x and P , respectively. There are as many point orbits as line orbits. Denoting this number by t , we have the partitions:

$$\mathcal{B} = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^t \mathcal{B}_i, \mathcal{P} = \bigsqcup_{r=1}^t \mathcal{P}_r$$

Obviously, $|\mathcal{B}_i|, |\mathcal{P}_r|$ divide $|G|$.

PROOF OF THE THEOREM:

According to the above definitions a set of different lines of a (v, k, λ) -design \mathcal{D} can have at most λ points in common, and, similarly, for a set of different points there exist at most λ lines containing all of them.

Let $\mathcal{T} = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t\}$ be a set of t lines in \mathcal{D} , $t \geq 2$, all of them containing the same point set $S = \{P_1, P_2, \dots, P_s\}$.

In the following we are dealing with the problem how many different points do contain the lines in \mathcal{T} . In other words, to estimate the number of points necessary for building t lines sharing the same s points. We shall denote such a number by $m_s(t)$.

For two lines x_1 and x_2 , it is always

$$|\langle x_1 \rangle \cup \langle x_2 \rangle| = |x_1| + |x_2| - |\langle x_1 \rangle \cap \langle x_2 \rangle| = 2k - \lambda.$$

The third line $\langle x_3 \rangle$ can have with the former two at most $s + 2(\lambda - s)$ common points, admitting the possibility that the sets $\langle x_1 \rangle \cap \langle x_3 \rangle \setminus S$ and $\langle x_2 \rangle \cap \langle x_3 \rangle \setminus S$ are disjoint. Thus the third line contains at least $k - s - 2(\lambda - s) = k - 2\lambda + s$ new points.

Continuing in this way we see that $\langle x_i \rangle$ can share with the union of preceding lines at most $s + (i - 1)(\lambda - s)$ common points — in the extreme case that all the sets $\langle x_i \rangle \cap \langle x_j \rangle \setminus S$, for $j < i$, are disjoint. Thus the i -th line x_i contains at least

$$(*) \quad n_i = k - s - (i - 1)(\lambda - s) = k - (i - 1)\lambda + (i - 2)s$$

new points. Clearly, this holds only for the case that $n_i \geq 0$. Otherwise, the above extreme case cannot appear and we cannot conclude, arguing as above, that x_i and the further lines bring some new points.

Thus, we set $n_i = 0$ for $k - s - (i - 1)(\lambda - s) \leq 0$, which is equivalent with

$$i - 1 \leq \frac{k - s}{\lambda - s},$$

that is

$$i \leq \left\lfloor \frac{k - s}{\lambda - s} + 1 \right\rfloor \equiv \mu_s.$$

The minimal number $m_s(t)$ of different points needed for building t lines sharing the same set of s points is, by previous argumentation, equal

$$m_s(t) = \sum_{i=1}^t n_i.$$

Now, for $t \leq \mu_s$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} m_s(t) &= \sum_{i=1}^t [k - (i-1)\lambda + (i-2)s] \\ &= tk - \sum_{i=2}^t (i-1)\lambda + \sum_{i=3}^t (i-2)s \\ &= tk - \binom{t}{2}\lambda + \binom{t-1}{2}s, \end{aligned}$$

and for $t > \mu_s$ it is $m_s(t) = m_s(\mu_s)$.

An additional condition on t is according the definition of symmetric block design the following one: $t \leq \lambda$, except if $s = 1$ when $t \leq k$.

The Theorem is proved.

Note: It remains to consider the case $s = \lambda$. Here $\langle x_i \rangle \cap \langle x_j \rangle = S$, for all i, j , and thus

$$\begin{aligned}
m_\lambda(t) &= \left| \bigcup_{i=1}^t \langle x_i \rangle \right| \\
&= |S \sqcup (\bigcup_{i=1}^t (\langle x_i \rangle \setminus S))| \\
&= |S \sqcup (\bigsqcup_{i=1}^t (\langle x_i \rangle \setminus S))| = \lambda + t(k - \lambda),
\end{aligned}$$

$m_\lambda(t)$ being the exact number of points needed.

Obviously, $\lambda + t(k - \lambda) \leq v = \frac{k(k-1)}{\lambda} + 1 \Rightarrow t \leq \frac{k+\lambda-1}{\lambda}$ and

thus

$$t \leq \lfloor \frac{k+\lambda-1}{\lambda} \rfloor = \lfloor \frac{k-1}{\lambda} + 1 \rfloor.$$